ANNUAL STATEMENT

of the Liverpool and London and Globe Insurance Co., of Liverpoo!, England for the year ending Dec.

31, 1905. Capital paid up in U. S. Assets\$ 12,23+,948 25

Liabilities exclusive of capital and net surplus 6,972,668 49 INcome Premiums 6,804,856 63 Other sources 461 602 88 7,266,459 51

Total income 1905.... Expenditures .519,143 50 Losses Dividends, none in the U.S. Other expenditures .. 2,277,920 CC Fire Business 1905

Premiums thereon 10.955,269 23 Losses incurred..... 3,455,760 33 Nevada Business Risks written 553,985 10 18.085 35 Premiums received 3.255 . 0 Losses paid 8.255 00 Losses incurred

Risks written 998,746,932 00

GEO H. MOORE, Secr. -0-0-

ANNUAL STATEMENT Of the Western Assurance Company of Toronto, Canada, Assets\$2.456.786 38 Liabilities, exclusive of capi-Income Expenditures 1.543,464 07 Total expendances 1.05 2,389,609 09 Business 1905 Risks written 3,404,284 95 Losses incurred 1,141,438 e2 Nevada Business Risks written 79.649 00 requested to try, determine and dis-Premiums received 2.280 to pose of all cases and business now Losses paid Losses Incurred C. C. rOSIER, Secty. ----

ANNUAL STATEMENT

Cf the National Surety Co of N.w Judge Curler's return on August 17th. York, N. Y. Wm. B. Boyce, President Samuel H. Shriver, Secty.

Capital deposited\$.500,000 0; Assets 2,216,713 88 Income Liabilities, exclusive of capital and net surplus .. 1.276.553 1; Premiums 1,214 acc Other sources .. 137,531 6 144444444

Expenditures Paid policy holders,... Other expenditures 612,402 62 Total expenditures 1 065 030 64 Business 1905 Risks written 424,727,950 00

Total income 1905..... 1,348,562 66

Premiums thereon.... 1.438,270 45 Losses incurred 660,384 70 Nevada Business 21 500 00 Amt. of risks written. Bremiums received.... 159 50

And of said policy GILBERT CONGDIN. asst. secc.

ANNUAL STATEMENT

Of the Mutual Life Insurance Company of New York Assets\$170 861 165 00 Income for 1905, \$5,001,992 88 Disbursements 1905 Pald policy holders. . . 35,643,185 17 Paid on all other accounts.

Adjustment of Real Estate valuatiens Juna 30..... 5,000,000 0^{α}

Total disbursements ... 55.972,967 27 Nevada Business Number of risks written Amount of risks written and

paid for 114,805 no Premiums received 71,020,09 Losses and claims paid. . 19,486 11 Lusses and claims incurred 32,486 to Policies in force Dec. 31, 1985 859 W. J. EASTON, Secty.

OFFICIAL COUNT OF STATE FUNDS.

STATE OF NEVADA. County of Ormshy. s. s.

John Sparks and W. G. Deagthe Treasury) made an official examination had count of the money and sions that each judge may direct and present. vouchers for money in the State Treasury of Nevada and found the same correct as follows:

257,242 ...0 Paid coin venchers not re-

turned to Controller 40,911 76 298,151 State School Fund Securities. Bredeemable Nevada State. School born

380,000 00 Mass. State 3 gor cont. -07 000 00 bonds Nevada State Bonds 200,700 00 Mass State 24 per cent

313,000 00 bomds Durited States Bonds 215,000,00 Total 1,996,854 66

W. G. Douglass John Sparks Subscribed and sworn before me this

27th day of Feb., A. D. 1956. Notary Public, Ormany County, Nev.

Custom suits and overcoats will be sold at reduced prices-and reasonable time given for payment.

No advantage in waiting-put in before Christmas.

CHAUNCEY LATTA.

COLUMN TO THE REAL PROPERTY. IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA. Ebenezer Twaddle and Ebenezer Twaddle as Special Admr., of the

Estate of Alexander Twaddle, de-Plaintiffs and Respondents ٧.

Theodore Winters, A. C. Winters, L. W. Winters and Samuel Longa-

Defendants and Appellants From 2d Judicial District Court, Wash-

oe County. Messrs, Cheney and Massey, attorneys for Plaintiffs.

Alfred Chartz, attorney for Defend-

DECISION The respondents have moved to dismiss the appeal from the judgment because it was not taken within one year, and to dismiss the appeal from the order of the district court denying appellants motion for a new trial, also to strike from the records the statement on motion for a new trial upon the ground that the statement was not filed within the time prescribed by law. The appeal from the judgment is dismissed because not taken until March, 1905, more than one year after its rendition on June 23. 1903. On that day Judge Curler of tal and net surplus.... 1,707.194 (9), the Second Judicial District court who had tried the case at Reno and Premiums 2.458,857 49 rendered the decree, made in open cases and proceedings that have not been completed or in the process of Other expenditures 846,145 92 completion, and all new business that may be brought before the court during the absence of the presiding judge, be referred to Judge M. A. Murphy of the first judicial district court of the State of Nevada, and that he be 835 50 before the court in the absence of the 1,335 50 judge of this district."

Pursuant to this request Judge Murphy occupied the bench in Reno until July 31, 1903, when a recess was taken until a further order of the court There was no other session until On July 17th, Judge Murphy, in open court in Reno, made an order allowing plaintiff until August 15th in which to file objection to findings, ness for him, we conclude that he was and prepare additional findings.. On August 3d Judge Murphy at Carson City, and within his own first judicial district, by an ex parte order not necessary for him to make the trip male without affidavit of Judge Cur- to Reno and undergo the formality of ler's absence or inability, granted to: opening court to enter ex parte orders defendants until September 15, 1903. within which to prepare, file and usually made out of court, serve their notice and statement on motion for a new trial. Later extenwhether they are effectual depends upon this order, which respondents claim Judge Murphy was unauthorized to make under Section 197 of the Practice Act which provides in regard to notices and statements on motions for new trial that 'the several periods of time limited may be enlarged by the written agreement of the parties, or upon good cause shown by the court, or the judge before whom the case is tried," and under district court rule XLIII which directs that "no judge, except the judge having charge of the cause or proceeding shall a ant Liabilities 470.861 765 to further time to plead, move, or do any act or thing required to be done in any cause or proceeding, unless it be shown by affidavit that such judge is absent from the State, or from som?

other cause is unable to act." Rule XLI provides: "When any the trial or hearing of any cause or proceeding, demurrer or motion, or for fluming wood, lumber and ice from made any ruling, order or decision therein, no other judge shall do any act or thing in or about said cause. proceeding, demurrer or motion, unless upon written request of the judge others to dispute plainting right as

control the business in his own district, and shall see that it it properly

performed." We think under the minute order and circumstances related, the power inherent in Judge Curler to extend the time of filing the notice and statement became conferred upon Judge Murphy during the former's absence, and that Judge Murphy became the Judge in charge, endowed with the authority to grant the extension without the presentation of the affidavit showing the absence or inability of Judge Curler, as the rule requires before the order can be made by a Judge not

having the business in charge. Judge Curer's absence was presumed to continue until his return was shown and consequently Judge Murphy's authority based upon that absence would likewise continue. It is said that under the first statute mentioned, the language that "the court or judge before whom the case was tried" may extend the time invalidates the order, because Judge Murphy was not the judge before whom it was tried, and that he was not the court after he returned to Carson City. where he made the order. In a nar row technical sence this may be true. your order and receive your goods if we do not look beyond the strict letter of the statute. But not so it we consider the intent and purpose of

light of reason as applied to the or- at the t ently the object of this legislation was ton a

der this contention if he had stepped age and dispute. through the door into the chambers and made it, it would have been void. court the district judge, accompanied Orders extending the time for filings by a civil engineer who had testified are business usually, or properly as a witness for the defendants, viewtransacted in chambers and under ed the premises and made measure-Section 2573 can and ought to be ments. At the point of least carrymade as effectually in any part of the ing capacity of the upper Twaddle State by the judge having the case in ditch, which is the old square flume was merely acting for Judge Curler and the water lacked more than two during his vacation, but by analogy inches of reaching the top. A surthe construction claimed, if adopted. would, in every case where a district judge dies, resigns or is succeeded. invalidate the orders extending time under section 197 made out of court by his sucessor in office, although they are of that character ordinarily granted in chamoers. This would mean a distinction and two rules for orders of the same kind and that the judge who had tried the this instance could make the order in some and he estimated that the oil least the privilege to that extent chambers, while his successor could flume would carry from 200 to 20d in running in it water which Lake has him, and would have to be in court to make these simple orders extending time in actions which had been previously tried by another judge.

Appellants desired and were entided to the time granted for the pur pose of enabling them to secure from the court reporter who had left the State, a transcript of the testimony given on the trial, which would enable them to properly prepare the state-

Under Section 2573 Judge Curler could have made an order granting them the extension at any place in the State, and as during his absence Judge Murphy was requested by the Court minutes to attend to all busiempowered to make the order at Car son City as he did, and as Judge Curler could have done, and that it was simply extending time, such as are

The motion to dismiss the appeal from the order overruling the motion sions were made by Judge Curler, but for a new trial and to strike out the statement is denied.

ON THE ME.ITS

This action was brought by Alexander Twaddle in his life time and by Ebenezer Twaddle, as co-owners, for Creek, alleged to have been approriated by their grantors in the year 1856 "by means of dams, ditches and a flume" for the irrigation of their ranch containing 203.92 acres in Washoe county. The answer denies up the ownership by the defendant

Winters, of a tract of land obut onmile wide and two miles long, and alleges appropia ons by them or the: grantors aggregating 600 inches flowing under a four inch pressure, by the year 1867, which are stated to be price to any diversion of the water by the district judge shall have entered upon plaintiffs, and asserts a claim for 12fendant, Longabaugh, to 180 inches large tracts of timber lands owned by him, and for domestic use and irrigating garden on forty acres at Ophir

Witnesses appeared to sustain, and

who shall have first entered upon the initiated a half century ago, and the trial or hearing of said cause, proceed, same is true regarding the claims of these defendants. The record affords Section 2573 of the Compiled laws, a glimpse of pioneer history at a per passed after section 197 of the Prac- jod previous to t' wint " n of all tice Act as quoted, enacts: 'The dis- State into the Union, and portrays trict judges of the State of Nevada the building and decay of saw and shall possess equal coex ensive and quartz mills and the rise and decliaconcurrent jurisdiction and power, of towns by the banks of the stream They shall each have power to hold the waters of which are here in litigacourt in any county of the State tion. One witness testified that the lass being first duly sworn They shall each exercise and perform Hawkins ditch, now known as the u, say they are members of the the powers duties and functions of per Twaddle ditch, was completed in Board of Framiners of the State of the court, and of Judges thereof, and 1857, and that he turned the wat of Nev, then on the 27th day of Feb of of Judges at Chambers. Each judge into it that year, Others stated that they, fafter having ascertained from shall have power to transiet business water was running in the ditch and the books of the State Controller the which may be done in chambers at fitume about that time, and that these amount of money that should be in any point within the State. All of were aparently in the same place and

this section is subject to the provi- of about the same capacity as it On behalf of the defendant other witnesses testified that they were over the ground and saw no ditch ! and that none existed there during those earlier years. It is unnecessary for us to detail the conflicting portions of the evidence. These were careful fully considered by the district conand for the reasons stated in its domion, enforced by statements in deal made many years before any contrav ersy arose the finding that this ditch was constructed and a prior apprenration of water made through it -857 finds ample support. At first co he Twaddle ranch land was plowed r onto a gerten and a grap't place of train and but little hay was cut. A easonable time was allowed in which to extend and complete the use of in afer that would flow through he itch and the quantity of land ind-"waddle ditch was constructed from ophir Creek at some time prior to 869 and runs to and irrigates the astern portion of the plainting ranch is shown that since that year at ast their lands have been in practially the same state of currivation ad irrigation that they were in at the

Reno and entered the order in open appropriation which has remained any way interefere with the water becourt it would have been good, but un- stationary may account for the short-

By consent of the parties in open charge, as if made by him in cham-near the Bowers' Mansion and grave, hers or in open court. Judge Murphy he measured the flow at 184 inches vever had testified for the plaintiffs that its canacity was 182 inches at, this point, and that the espacity of 100 feet of old flume remaining up nearer the head of the ditch which had been impaired by age and abaudened, and supplanted by a new V flume built above the old one by the plaintiffs in 1905, was 150 inches. At does not purport to grant any wat inches of water which he had measso make it only in the cases tried by ches. From his examination of the or might appropriate. Later, the to at least the amount of water that, mention any interest in this ditch. had flowing in the flune at the time he made the evandation, and he dis erood thom a unior right to 184 minors inches running under a four inch pressure or 3 24-50 cubic feet per serand from Amp 17th to You, 17th each wear, and 20 inches or 25 of one cubic fort per second for demostic use and watering stock at other times. It is claimed the amount at lowed is not warranted by the evidence because more than the canacity of the upper Twaddle ditch as

shown by the testimony mentioned Tring it at 180 juches at the point above the mansion, and at 150 inches a'ong the 100 feet of old flume, through which the water flowed price ! It is not necessary to determine whether the court on its own evanination and measurement may allow a quantity beyond the range of the evidence, nor whether the surveyor could gatually estimate the carractive of the 100 feet of old flyme without knowing the volume and velocity of the water that entered it, nor whether the variation of one part in ulvety-

one or the difference between 100 in chas in his measurement and that of ditch. as a slight discrangacy to be present swampy. The quantity of water of twelve in this regard. lowed by the decree seems very lin. Patents for defendants fands tylog (to School Fund Dist 1 388 95 half and three fifths of an inch of

The evidence indicated that the that awarded to them and more and had uniformly produced good cross Much of their land is sandy with considerable slope. After examining the plaintiffs that that amount was noessary and adopted a mean between the highest and lowest actionter The quantity of wetar reculsity was tos greatly with the sail seasons erons, and conditions, and we cannot

vicinity varied is their estimates of

sar that the allowance to ever ssign Alexander Twentile restinct that ated was increased. The lewer be changed if such change does not interefere with the prior rights,

Under the restimony of Alexander Twaddle that the irrivating season closes about the first of October, and that sometimes he used water a little later, we think probably the deepse should limit plaintfis' right for jerigating purposes to October 15th. ime of the commencement of this This may allow defendent Longa ction, and that during that period baugh to flume wood a month earlier laintiffs' used all the water they at this season when the water is low, needed from Ophir Creek without in and allow Winters more for watering

dinary rules of practice, and give due appears that the plaintiffs' had not erected many years ago Long bauga owners to finally waste by sinking and weight to the later section. Appar- materially increa et their oppropriated and show any prior appropriation evaporating in the desert. The Carlwith and the decree properly enjoins him fornia decisions cited for appellants to prevent the granting of extensions. Theodore winters admitted upon the from inforcering with that part of may no longe, be considered good and the medding of judges in cases stand that during the last ten or fif- the water of Ophir Creek awarded to law even in the state in which they which they had not tried or which teen years a had been using twice as the plaintiff, because he can the revere rendered. were not properly under their control, much water from Ophir Creek in al- water in his flume past their dittal In the recent case of Kansas v. Colo and yet in the case of the absence or dition to that from other a reams, as and into one owned by Winters, and inability of the judge who tried the he used during the first len years that joined with the other defendance in action, to grant relief, or allow ex- he cultivated his lands. As he claims answering and resisting the rights of tensions to be made to deserving liti- and uses more than the plaintiffs, we plaintiffs. The decree does not pro- and trebled the value of property in conclude that this large increase in vent him from taking any water in Fresno and King countries, Califor-The argument advanced concedes his diversion of the waters of the the creek in excess of the amount nia, that they nad to depart from the that if Judge Murphy had gone to streams since the completion of their awarded to plaintiffs. Nor does it in

Ophir Creek and take out lower dow

provided he does not diminish the flow to which plaintiffs are entitled. On May 30, 1877, John 'r waudie, the father and prefecessor in interest the plaintiffs, conveyed to M. C. Lake "one-third of that certain water ditch and flume known as the Twaddle ditch, leading from what is now known as the Ophir treek to the land of said Twaddle, southerly from said creek through the lands of C. F. Wooten and M. C. Lake, with the privilege of running water through 186. said flume and ditch to what is known as the Bowers Mansion or ground the expense of maintaining sai ditch and flume to be pald by each in proportion to their interests in same It will be noted that this language this point the judge found that 13! but rather the right to convey were and that it amounts to a sale of a cause as Judge Curier had done in used below about filled the new Y third interest in the duch with at premises and the character of the soil fendant Theodore Winters, acousthe court was of the opinion that the the Bowers Mansion and grounds plaintiffs required and were entitled through conveyances which did not does not appear that Lake or ' grantors ever made any use of the ditch or ever contributed towards its

> Alexander Twaddle stated on stand that he did not ciaim all the ditch and that the plainting owner deed the one-third interest in the ditch became appurtenant to the Bowers land when it was never used for its irrigation, and later appear with the land without being mentioned, and whether siter the tapse of (wenty-five years without any use or contribution towards its repair the grantee of Lake has a third interest as a co-owner in the ditch and that part of the flume which has not besuperceeded by the new one built by plaintiffs, are questions which w need not determine, for they, and that part of the judgment of the court and Flume," are not within the allegations of the plennings which con-

repair.

450 miners inches running under a six 193 by the judge should be disregard; inch pressure of the waters of Ophir ed as too triffing to be mate in plaint of the apropriation of water inch pressure of the waters of Ophir ed as too triffing to be mate in the apropriation of water inch pressure of the waters of Ophir ed as too triffing to be mate in the apropriation of water inch pressure of the waters of Ophir ed as too triffing to be mate in the apropriation of water inch pressure of the waters of Ophir ed as too triffing to be mate in the apropriation of water inch pressure of the waters of Ophir ed as too triffing to be mate in the apropriation of water in the pressure of the waters of Ophir ed as too triffing to be mate in the apropriation of water in the apropriation of water in the pressure of the waters of Ophir ed as too triffing to be mate in the apropriation of water in the pressure of the waters of Ophir ed as too triffing to be mate in the pressure of the waters of Ophir ed as too triffing to be mate in the pressure of the water in the for the indement for the 24 inches and a flume" the court properly de- Slot machine license...... 282 00 Ancted because in evcess of the can- water through either or both the Semi-Angual Set State Treas 531 78 fore the construction of the Williams I would have that right in the upper ment of this suit used a norting of whether the grantee of Lake owns the water through the lower twas and can assert a right to an undivi-is asnecially so because a few of their to be determined by the indepent in Agl Assn. Bond Fund, Series 170.45 sores of cultivated land that the absence of any issue it administration Creek and a small portion is naturally fically excepted to finding attainer Agl. Assn. Bond Fund, Series

> gineers and others testified that one passage of the Act of Congress of Co. School fund Dist 3.......30 70 July 26, 1866 au., it is as et al test Co School Fund Dist. 4. 24 00 water per acre w s sufficient white for this reason a vested Common State School fund, Dist. 1., 2605 00 Law riparian right to the flow of the State State Fund, Dist 2. ... 160 69 waters of Opmir Creek recrued of for the plaintiffs, farmers from the which they could not be seen in the State School and filet? 120.00 the amount noce sary from e is and one half to three and one half inches that Act, if this were my defendants State School fund, 15st 1 165 00 might as well be consider a de " Sporial building 5:50 00 plaintiffs had used as much water as that right by acquire-cours in 1... (). Total titued diversion of the water to prove tims for a period man; times long w than that provided by the success tach in Treasury October 1905 soil and viewing the quantity of water some soil and viewing the quantity of water solling to the consider seriously or left soil and the provider soil and the provider soil and the soi an argument by which it is a man to be have us overthis and the property Phabursements from Get, 1st eisions of long shanding in this and to Dec 30, 1965 great 5945 ather and states and to the third me Halonce each in Chenty Prots. Jones v. Adams, Rono Sampa-Works v. Seen on and Proper Water Co., declaring that this stalk of sions and who rever it is not need | more and more apoured that the life of School II at 1, fund. 7638 22% any beneficial use for it and not per- essential under our climatic comit for School 1934, J. 1994, ... 190 .615 by the law has a total property tions to the general weifare and that Co. School Dist. 3, fund. . . . 175 at by the law, but it is better to have the Common Law regarding the Seate School Dist. 1, fund. 1638 06 decrees specify, and a necially so in of streams which reay be unabjected this case, in view of the testimony able in such localities as the Brest-that the award of water is limited to ington and northern California whore t bene leful use at such thees as it rains are frequent and fogs and winds. State School Dist 1, ford 19 25 is needed, Gotelli v. Cardelli. The laden with mist from the secon ope (u) Assn. Fund A 680 824 be observed if and diversion may vail and moisten the coil, is unsuff. Agi. Assn Fund, B. 86 869 able under our sunny slike where the lands are so arid than irrigation is required for the production of the Co. School Dist. fund - special prosperity of the people. Induation Co. School Dist. fond 1, library is the life of our important and increasing agricultural interests which | Co School Dist. fund 3, library would be strangled by the enforce-

Congress is apropriating millions for storage and distribution and our Legislature have recognized the adthe enact ent, and construct it in the terruption except in 1887, 1898 and stock without material injury to the above for use in irrigation instead of

ment of the riparian principle.

he begun, it plaintiffs. Although his flume was having it flow by lands of riparian.

rado before the Supreme Court of the United States, Congressman Neethan testified test irrigation and doubled doctrine of riparian rights and under that doctrine it would be difficult a longing to him coming from other make any future development; that sources. This he may turn into there has been a departure from the principles laid down in Lux v. Haggin, because at that time the value of water was not realized, that the decisoin has been practically reversed by the same court on subsequent occasions, and that the deetrine of prior appropriation and the application of water to a beneficial use is in effect in force now in that State.

We must decline to award the dafendants the waters of the stream at riparian proprietors and patenters of the land along its banks prior to

The case will be remanded for a new trial unless there is filed on tae part of the plaitniffs within thick days from the filing bereof, a writtea consent that the judgment be modified by limiting the use of the 184 m. ones, or 3 34-50 cubic feet per seems or water awarded to the planting, iq such times as may be necessar. the irrigation of their crops or land; or for other beneficial purposes, between April 15 and October 15 of sach year, and by allowing plaintitts. for the remainder of the time die 46 inches awarded to them, when necessary for their household, domestre and stock purposes, and by striking from the decree the words:

"h Is further o uc. i willinged and decreed that said plaintiffs have the rejusive right to use and the exclusive use of said Upper Twaddle Ditch and Flume at all seasons of the year." If such consent is so filed the cistwo thirds of it. Whether under this trict court will modify the judgmen' accordingly and as so modified tha

judgment and decree will stand affirm-Talbot, J.

We concur: Fitzgerald, C. J. Nereros .

Quarterly Report. Ormsby County, Nevada. Receipts.

Filed Feb. 1, 1906. Balane in County Treasury at end of last qua ter ... \$40022 264 of, or a third or any interest in the Rent of county bidg. 250 0. 184 by the judge should be disregard. | Under the assertion in the tow 1st, Instalment taxes. 1991 21 to in 1000 to superior by the nesting of ditch if their interest in it is only Sale of horse to on , thirty-one years before the commence fendants in the lower ditch, but Total 61,077 36% Disbursements.

21,968 59%

Re altulation.

41. DISTERN N. Comp Auditor Recapitulation

State S head Dist, 2, fend. 77 51 State School Dest. 3, fund ... "71 39 Jul. Assn Fund Special ... 1918 94

Co. School Dist fund 4, library G 10

Total 35168 77% H. B. VAN ETTEN County Treasurer